

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,078	04/01/2004	Jeffrey T. Nadzam	LEEE 200414	7320	
27885 7.	590 03/27/2006	EXAMINER			
	PE, FAGAN, MINNI	SHAW, CLIFFORD C			
CLEVELAND	OR AVENUE, SEVEN' . OH 44114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	•	1725		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/815,078	3	NADZAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Clifford C.		1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appo	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on	_•					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[	Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the d	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A STATE OF THE STA								
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	1450)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0815</u> .  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1725

## **Detailed Action**

- 1.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.) Claims 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, 25, 26, 31, 32, 37, 38, 44-46, 49, 50, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (6,441,342, cited by applicant). Figures 1, 9 and 9B and the discussion at column 18, line 44 through column 20, line 39 in the patent to Hsu (6,441,342) disclose a welder and a method of welding with features claimed, including: generating a pulse waveform at element 40; detecting a short circuit on the basis of a voltage sensing circuit 36; clearing an undesired short circuit as shown in figure 9B whereby after a short circuit is detected as "clear short" routine is implement at box 312 in the flow chart after which waveform generation is resumed at box 378 by starting the background current. The claims differ from the teachings of Hsu (6,441,342) in calling for a "circuit" to reset the waveform generator and in certain of the dependent claims, calling for sensing a short circuit only during the peak current portion or the background current portion. These differences do not patentably distinguish over the prior art. Although the "clear short" routine is disclosed as a box in a flowchart in Hsu (6,441,342), it is considered obvious that this function is in some sense a "circuit" as claimed because the flow-chart function must obviously interact with the power supply through the agency of a circuit. In regard to the claim limitations directed to detecting a short on only the

peak or the background current, the arrangement of Hsu (6,441,342) discloses detecting the short at both of these time periods (see the decision boxes at elements 310 following elements 373 and 378 in figure 9B of Hsu (6,441,342)). It would have been obvious to have limited the detection to one or the other of peak and background current, the motivation being routine engineering consideration such as shortening the execution time of the figure 9B algorithm or simplifying this algorithm for certain welding situations by eliminating redundant short circuit checks.

Page 3

3.) Claims 4-8, 12-16, 20-24, 27-30, 33-36, 39-43, 47, 48, 51, 52, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (6,441,342) as applied to claims 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, 25, 26, 31, 32, 37, 38, 44-46, 49, 50, 53, and 54 above, and further in view of Ihde (6,617,549). The only aspects of the claims to which the rejection above does not apply are: the provision for arrangements for clearing a short by increasing current flow; and welding with a shielding gas. These differences do not patentably distinguish over the prior art. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have implemented the "clear short" routine in element 312 of Hsu (6,441,342) using any conventional approach. In particular, it would have been obvious to have used an increased current as claimed for the short circuit clearing, the motivation being the teachings of Ihde (6,617,549) that it is advantageous to clear an undesired short circuit by increasing current (see the abstract of Ihde (6,617,549)). It would have been obvious to have practiced the method of Hsu (6,441,342) with a gas shielded electrode as claimed, the motivation being the teachings of Ihde (6,617,549) that it is advantageous to include a short clearing process in a MIG, i.e., gas shielded, welding process (see the discussion of MIG welding at column 4, lines 21-26 in Ihde (6,617,549)).

Art Unit: 1725

4.) The patent to Awano et al. (4,697,062) is cited to show a prior art pulsed welder controlled on the basis of sensed short circuits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford Shaw Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

March 20, 2006